1	DEAN RUIZ - SBN #213515			
2	Harris, Perisho & Ruiz 3439 Brookside Road, Suite 210			
3	Stockton, CA 95219			
4	Telephone: (209) 957-4254 Facsimile: (209) 957-5338			
-5	E-mail: dean@hpllp.com			
6	Attorneys for SOUTH DELTA WATER AGENCY			
7	and CENTRAL DELTA WATER AGENCY			
8	JOHN HERRICK - SBN 139125			
9	Law Office of John Herrick			
	4255 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2 Stockton, California 95207			
10	Telephone: (209) 956-0150			
11	Facsimile: (209) 956-0154			
12	Attorneys for WOODS IRRIGATION COMPANY			
13				
14	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA			
15				
16	STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD			
- 1				
17	In the Matter of Draft Cease and Desist) OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO STRIKE			
17 18	Order No. 2009-00XX-DWR Enforcement)			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
18 19	Order No. 2009-00XX-DWR Enforcement) Action 73Against Woods Irrigation)			
18 19 20	Order No. 2009-00XX-DWR Enforcement) Action 73Against Woods Irrigation)			
18 19 : 20 21	Order No. 2009-00XX-DWR Enforcement) Action 73Against Woods Irrigation) Company)			
18 19 20 21 22	Order No. 2009-00XX-DWR Enforcement) Action 73Against Woods Irrigation) Company)			
18 19 20 21 22 23	Order No. 2009-00XX-DWR Enforcement) Action 73Against Woods Irrigation) Company) I INTRODUCTION			
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Order No. 2009-00XX-DWR Enforcement) Action 73Against Woods Irrigation) Company) I INTRODUCTION CENTRAL DELTA WATER AGENCY, SOUTH DELTA WATER AGENCY, and			
18 19 20 21 22 23	Order No. 2009-00XX-DWR Enforcement) Action 73Against Woods Irrigation) Company) I INTRODUCTION			
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Order No. 2009-00XX-DWR Enforcement) Action 73Against Woods Irrigation) Company) I INTRODUCTION CENTRAL DELTA WATER AGENCY, SOUTH DELTA WATER AGENCY, and			
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Order No. 2009-00XX-DWR Enforcement) Action 73Against Woods Irrigation) Company) I INTRODUCTION CENTRAL DELTA WATER AGENCY, SOUTH DELTA WATER AGENCY, and WOODS IRRIGATION COMPANY ("CDWA", "SDWA", and "WIC") herein oppose the			
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Order No. 2009-00XX-DWR Enforcement) Action 73Against Woods Irrigation) Company) I INTRODUCTION CENTRAL DELTA WATER AGENCY, SOUTH DELTA WATER AGENCY, and WOODS IRRIGATION COMPANY ("CDWA", "SDWA", and "WIC") herein oppose the Motion to Strike recently filed by the MSS Parties concerning certain portions of the Neudeck			
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Order No. 2009-00XX-DWR Enforcement) Action 73Against Woods Irrigation) Company) I INTRODUCTION CENTRAL DELTA WATER AGENCY, SOUTH DELTA WATER AGENCY, and WOODS IRRIGATION COMPANY ("CDWA", "SDWA", and "WIC") herein oppose the Motion to Strike recently filed by the MSS Parties concerning certain portions of the Neudeck testimony. The motion is procedurally improper and misconstrues that portion of the Joint			

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

ARGUMENT

THE MOTION TO STRIKE IS PROCEDURALLY IMPROPER

The hearing officers did not allow for or invite motions or additional briefings subsequent to the filing of the closing briefs which were due August 2010. Therefore, the MSS Parties' Motion to Strike is procedurally improper and an attempt to supplement their Closing Brief with the subject motion to strike.

The Joint Closing Brief submitted by WIC, CDWA and SDWA clearly discusses the reasons why the subject portions of the Neudeck testimony are important and relevant with respect to numerous issues which are before the Board in this matter. (See, WIC, CDWA, and SDWA Joint Closing Brief at page 64, line 26 through page 69, line 10). This portion of the Joint Closing Brief properly points out that the Neudeck testimony was not presented solely to support the Delta pool theory and that the appellate court in the Phelps matter did not reject the common underground/surface supply theory.

WIC, CDWA, and SDWA respectfully request that the hearing officer consider the above-referenced portion of their Joint Closing Brief which addressed these issues and clearly demonstrates that all of Mr. Neudeck's testimony is necessary and relevant. Moreover, the Joint Closing Brief submitted by WIC, CDWA, and SDWA specifically acknowledged and addressed the hearing officer's evidentiary Ruling of July 19, 2010 and the hearing officers are fully capable of determining the importance and relevance of the Neudeck testimony in this context without the improper filing and consideration of a Motion to Strike.

II

CONCLUSION

For the reasons discussed herein, the MSS Parties' Motion to Strike should be denied and stricken from the administrative record.

DATED: September 3, 2010

HARRIS, PERISHO & RUIZ

BY

1	In the Matter of Draft Cease and Desist Order No. 2009-00XX-DWR Enforcement Action 73				
2	Against Woods Irrigation Company				
3	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE				
4	I am employed in the County of San Joaquin, State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is Harris, Perisho & Ruiz, 3439				
5	Brookside Road, Suite 210, Stockton, California 95219.	, 5 .57			
6 7	On, September 3, 2010, I served the following document(s) described as:				
8	OPPOSITION ON MOTION TO STRIKE				
10	[X] (BY MAIL) by placing [] the original [X] a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes for collection and mailing with the United States Postal Service that same	ne day			
11	in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, serv	rice is			
12	presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than 1 date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.	ay after			
13 14	[] (BY CERTIFIED MAIL) Certified Mail Receipt No [] (attached)/Return Receipt Req	uested			
15 16 17 18	[] (BY FACSIMILE) I transmitted from a facsimile transmission machine whose tell number is (209) 957-4254 the following documents described above. The above-described transmission was reported as complete without error by a transmission reliasted by the facsimile transmission machine upon which the said transmission was immediately following the transmission. A true and correct copy of the said transmission report is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.	eport s made			
20 21 22	[] (BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) Depositing originals/copies of the above documents in a box or other facility regula maintained by Federal Express, or UPS, in an envelope or package designated by [] Federal Express or [] UPS with delivery fees paid or provided for.	ırly			
23	[] (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) (as indicated below) [] process server [] courier service				
24 25 26 27	[] (BY ELECTRONIC MAIL) I caused a true and correct scanned image (.PDF file) copy to be transmitted via the electronic mail transfer system in place at Harris, Perisho & Ruiz, originating from undersigned at 3439 Brookside Road, Suite 210, Stockton, California, to the address indicated below.	the			
28					

1	To the interested parties and/or their counsel addressed as follows:		
2	MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT	SAN JOAQUIN FARM BUREAU	
_	Tim O'Laughlin	c/o Bruce Blodgett	
3	O'Laughlin & Paris LLP	3290 North Ad Art Road	
4	PO Box 9259	Stockton, CA 95215-2296	
4	Chico, CA 92927	director@sjfb.org	
5	towater@olaughlinparis.com	director@sjib.org	
	kpetruzzelli@olaughlinparis.com	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY AND THE	
6	kpetruzzem(@otaugmmparis.com	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY FLOOD	
ŀ	THE SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA	CONTROL & WATER	
7	WATER AUTHORITY		
	l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	CONSERVATION DISTRICT	
8	Jon D. Rubin / Valerie C. Kincaid	c/o DeeAnne M. Gillick	
9	Diepenbrock Harrison	Neumiller & Beardslee	
,	400 Capitol Mall, 18 th Flr.	PO Box 20	
10	Sacramento, CA 95814	Stockton, CA 95201-3020	
-	jrubin@diepenbrock.com	dgillick@neumiller.com	
11	vkincaid@diepenbrock.com	mbrown@neumiller.com	
12	DIVISION OF WATER RIGHTS		
12	PROSECUTION TEAM		
13	David Rose		
14	State Water Resources Control Board		
17	1001 I Street		
15	Sacramento, CA 95814		
	drose@waterboards.ca.gov		
16			
	STATE WATER CONTRACTORS		
17	Stanley C. Powell		
18	Kronick, Moscovitz, Tiedermann & Girard	*.	
10	400 Capitol Mall, 27th Flr.		
19	Sacramento, CA 95814	·	
1	spowell@kmtg.com		
20			
	STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BO	ARD	
21	Jeannie Townsend		
22	PO Box 100		
22	Sacramento CA 95812-0100		
23	jtownsend@waterboards.ca.gov		
	Trownsend(w, water boards.ca.gov		
24	I declare under manelty of negions, under the	larva of the State of Colifornia that the foregoing	
ı	1	laws of the State of California that the foregoing	
25	is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.		
_	77 . 1.11.0.11.00 . 1.0010	G. 1. G. 15 7 . 1	
26	Executed this 3rd day of September 2010 at	Stockton, Valifornia.	
27	· (/	\$ 1100016	
41		1/10/10/10	
28		Niccole C. Kuntz	